### (12) INTERNATIONAL ACCEPTATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

# (19) World Intellectual Property Organization International Bureau





(43) International Publication Date 15 January 2004 (15.01.2004)

PCT

## (10) International Publication Number WO 2004/005479 A3

- (51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: C07H 19/00, 21/00, 21/02, 21/04, C12Q 1/00, 1/68, C12P 19/34, A61K 39/00, 39/02, 39/38
- (21) International Application Number:

PCT/US2003/021153

- (22) International Filing Date: 2
  - 2 July 2003 (02.07.2003)
- (25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data: 60/393,497

2 July 2002 (02.07.2002) US

- (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): ARIZONA BOARD OF REGENTS [US/US]; acting for and behalf of, Arizona State University(ABR/ASU), P.O. Box 873511, Tempe, AZ 85287 (US).
- (72) Inventors; and
- (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): KEIM, Paul, S. [US/US]; 3115 W. Tina Lane, Flagstaff, AZ 86001 (US). FARLOW, Jason [US/US]; 214 West Dale St., Apt. A, Flagstaff, AZ 86001 (US).
- (74) Agent: MURRAY, Kittie; Quarles & Brady Streich Lang LLP, One Renaissance Square, Two North Central Ave., Phoenix, AZ 85004-2391 (US).

- (81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.
- (84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

#### Published:

- with international search report
- before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments
- (88) Date of publication of the international search report: 23 December 2004

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: A HIGH RESOLUTION TYPING SYSTEM FOR PATHOGENIC BORRELIA

(57) Abstract: MLVA methods for strain discrimination among globally diverse Borrelia isolates including B. burgdorferi, B. afzelii, and B. garinii are disclosed. Ten VNTR loci have been identified from genomic and plasmid sequences of Borreliastrains and primer pairs suitable for amplifying the VNTR by PCR are disclosed. Polymorphisms at these loci were used to resolve genotypes into distinct groups. The resolution of 30 unique genotypes into five to seven distinct groups is demonstrated. This sub-typing scheme is useful for the epidemiological study of Borrelia and may be applied to the local detection of the pathological causative agent of Lyme Disease.



0 2004/005479

To be eligible for the classification it requests for the beneficiary, a petitioner must establish that it has the ability to pay the proffered wage stated on the ETA Form 9089. As provided in the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(g)(2):

The petitioner must demonstrate this ability at the time the priority date is established and continuing until the beneficiary obtains lawful permanent residence. Evidence of this ability shall be either in the form of copies of annual reports, federal tax returns, or audited financial statements. In a case where the prospective United States employer employs 100 or more workers, the director may accept a statement from a financial officer of the organization which establishes the prospective employer's ability to pay the proffered wage. In appropriate cases, additional evidence, such as profit/loss statements, bank account records, or personnel records may be submitted by the petitioner or requested by [USCIS].

#### II. ANALYSIS

As indicated in the above regulation, the Petitioner must establish its continuing ability to pay the proffered wage from the priority date<sup>1</sup> of the petition onward. The priority date in this case is April 17, 2017. The ETA Form 9089 states that the wage offered for the job of registered nurse is \$81,500 per year.

In determining a petitioner's ability to pay the proffered wage, USCIS first examines whether the beneficiary was employed and paid by the petitioner during the period following the priority date. A petitioner's submission of documentary evidence that it employed the beneficiary at a salary equal to or greater than the proffered wage for the time period in question, when accompanied by a form of evidence required in the regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(g)(2), may be considered proof of the petitioner's ability to pay the proffered wage. In this case, there is no evidence that the Beneficiary has been employed by the Petitioner at any time since the priority date. Therefore, the Petitioner cannot establish its ability to pay the proffered wage based on wages paid to the Beneficiary.

If a petitioner does not establish that it has paid the beneficiary an amount equal to or above the proffered wage from the priority date onward, USCIS will examine the net income and net current assets figures recorded on the petitioner's federal income tax return(s), annual report(s), or audited financial statements(s). If either of these figures, net income or net current assets, equals or exceeds the proffered wage or the difference between the proffered wage and the amount paid to the beneficiary in a given year, the petitioner would be considered able to pay the proffered wage during that year. However, when a petitioner has filed other I-140 petitions, the Petitioner must establish that its job offer is realistic not only for the instant Beneficiary, but also for the beneficiaries of its

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The "priority date" of a petition is ordinarily the date the underlying labor certification application is filed with the DOL. See 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(d). Since this petition is for a Schedule A occupation, the ETA Form 9089 is not certified by the DOL and the priority date of the petition is the date that it along with the uncertified ETA Form 9089 is filed with USCIS.

other petitions (I-140 beneficiaries). A petitioner's ability to pay the proffered wage is an essential element in evaluating whether a job offer is realistic. See Matter of Great Wall, 16 I&N Dec. 142 (Acting Reg'l Comm'r 1977). Accordingly, the Petitioner must demonstrate its ability to pay the combined proffered wages of the instant Beneficiary and the every other I-140 beneficiary from this petition's priority date until the other I-140 beneficiaries obtain lawful permanent resident status. See Patel v. Johnson, 2 F.Supp. 3d 108, 124 (D.Mass. 2014) (upholding our denial of a petition where a petitioner did not demonstrate its ability to pay multiple beneficiaries). Thus, the Petitioner in this case must establish that its net income or net current assets in a given year are sufficient to pay the proffered wages of the instant Beneficiary and all of its other I-140 beneficiaries, or the difference between their total proffered wages and the wages paid to them.

On appeal the Petitioner submits a list of 99 I-140 beneficiaries for whom it had filed petitions as of September 18, 2017. The list includes the requested classification, receipt number, priority date, and immigration status of each beneficiary. However, no evidence is provided about the proffered wages of the beneficiaries or the wages paid to any of them. On the current record, therefore, it is not possible to determine the Petitioner's total wage obligations to its I-140 beneficiaries.

The record also includes a copy of the Petitioner's federal income tax return, Form 1120, for the year 2016, which was the most recent filed by the Petitioner at the time of the Director's decision and the subsequent appeal. However, without the Petitioner's 2017 federal tax return (or an annual report or audited financial statement for 2017), we would be unable to assess the Petitioner's ability to pay the proffered wages of the instant Beneficiary and its other I-140 beneficiaries from the priority date of April 17, 2017, onward even if evidence of the proffered wages and wages paid to the other I-140 beneficiaries were in the record.

We will remand this matter to the Director, therefore, to request additional evidence about the proffered wages of, and wages paid to, the Petitioner's other I-140 beneficiaries in 2017, and to request regulatory required evidence, as specified in 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(g)(2), of the Petitioner's ability to pay the proffered wages of all its I-140 beneficiaries in 2017.

#### III. CONCLUSION

For the reasons discussed above, we will remand this case to the Director for further consideration of the Petitioner's ability to pay the proffered wages of all its I-140 beneficiaries from the priority date of this petition onward.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Petitioner's ability to pay the proffered wage of one of the other I-140 beneficiaries is not considered:

<sup>•</sup> After the other beneficiary obtains lawful permanent residence;

<sup>•</sup> If an I-140 petition filed on behalf of the other beneficiary has been withdrawn, revoked, or denied without a pending appeal or motion; or

<sup>•</sup> Before the priority date of the I-140 petition filed on behalf of the other beneficiary.